

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/864,927	05/24/2001	Lee E. Cannon	29757/ AG32-CIP 2424	
4743	7590 11/13/2	06	EXAMINER	
	L, GERSTEIN & 1	NGUYEN, DAT		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/864,927	CANNON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dat T. Nguyen	3714				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	nuary 2006.					
2a)⊠		action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	· ·					
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 34,35,38 and 55-67 is/are pending in t	the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 34,35,38 and 55-67 is/are rejected.						
· ·	7)						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
		_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of form P10-152.							
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:		)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		•				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the partified copies not received.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	ν.						
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
· —	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	areur whhiicarion				
	ademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/864,927

Art Unit: 3714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on January 26, 2006 in which applicant responds to the claim rejections. Claims 34, 35, 38, and 55-67 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 34, 35, 38, 55-58 and 60-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pascal et al. (WO 98/00210 in view of Giacalone, Jr. (US 5,758,875).

The rejection as stated in office action, paper no. 10202005 is maintained and incorporated herein.

3. Claim 59 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pascal et al. in view of Giacalone, Jr and further in view of Okada (US 4,508,345).

The rejection as stated in office action, paper no. 10202005 is maintained and incorporated herein.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed January 26, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

5. Applicant alleges that the prior art fails to disclose the limitation of altering the rate of play automatically in response to at least one selected game outcome of at least one game of chance. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Pascal et al. teaches a tournament gaming system in which players are rewarded for how rapidly they play during the tournament play therefore the outcome of the game causes a change in the rate of play therefore, the game of Pascal et al. in combination with the play rate adjustment means of Gaicalone Jr. would result in a device that reads on the claims.

6. Applicant alleges the prior art fails to disclose permitted rates of play, but instead discloses actual rates of play. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The invention of Giacalone Jr. monitors the actual rate of play and adjusts the permitted rate of play accordingly. Therefore there is a momentary difference between the actual rate of play and the permitted rate of play before the machine can adjust the rate of play.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Acres (US 6,254,483) discloses a method and apparatus for controlling electronic gaming devices based on various parameters such as rate of play.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3714

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dat T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 5712722178. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John M. Hotaling can be reached on (571)272-4437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dat Nguyen